


COVID-19

Teaching virtual protein-centric CUREs and UREs using computational tools

Anthony Bell¹ | Laura Christian² | David Hecht³ | Kathryn Huisinga⁴ |
John Rakus⁵ | Ellis Bell¹ 

¹University of San Diego, San Diego, California

²Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York

³Southwestern College, Chula Vista, California

⁴Malone University, Canton, Ohio

⁵Marshall University, Huntington, West Virginia

Correspondence

Ellis Bell, University of San Diego, San Diego, CA.

Email: jbell@sandiego.edu

Funding information

National Science Foundation, Grant/Award Number: NSF-1726932 EHR-IUSE

Abstract

Readily available, free, computational approaches, adaptable for topics accessible for first to senior year classes and individual research projects, emphasizing contributions of noncovalent interactions to structure, binding and catalysis were used to teach Course-based Undergraduate Research Experiences that fulfil generally accepted main CURE components: Scientific Background, Hypothesis Development, Proposal, Experiments, Teamwork, Data Analysis of quantitative data, Conclusions, and Presentation.

KEYWORDS

computational experimental approaches, course-based undergraduate research experiences, protein biochemistry, remote laboratory teaching

Responding to the need to teach remotely due to COVID-19, we used readily available computational approaches (and developed associated tutorials [<https://mdh-cures-community.squarespace.com/virtual-cures-and-ures>]) to teach virtual Course-Based Undergraduate Research Experience (CURE) laboratories that fulfill generally accepted main components of CUREs or Undergraduate Research Experiences (UREs): Scientific Background, Hypothesis Development, Proposal, Experiments, Teamwork, Data Analysis, Conclusions, and Presentation.¹ We then developed and taught remotely, in three phases, protein-centric CURE activities that are adaptable to virtually any protein, emphasizing contributions of noncovalent interactions to structure, binding, and catalysis (an ASBMB learning framework² foundational concept).

The courses had five learning goals (unchanged in the virtual format), focused on (i) the use of primary literature and bioinformatics, (ii) the roles of non-covalent interactions, (iii) keeping accurate laboratory notebooks, (iv) hypothesis development and research proposal writing, and (v) presenting the project and drawing evidence based conclusions.

The first phase, Developing a Research Proposal, contains three modules, and develops hallmarks of a good student-developed hypothesis using available literature (PubMed³) and preliminary observations obtained using bioinformatics, Module 1: Using Primary Literature and Data Bases (Protein Data Base,⁴ Blast,⁵ and Clustal Omega⁶); Module 2: Molecular Visualization (PyMol⁷ and Chimera⁸), culminating in a research proposal (Module 3). Provided rubrics guide student expectations. In the second phase, Preparing the Proteins, students prepared necessary proteins and mutants using Module 4: Creating and Validating Models, which leads users through creating mutants with PyMol, homology modeling with Phyre2⁹ or Missense,¹⁰ energy minimization using RefineD¹¹ or ModRefiner,¹² and structure validation using MolProbity.¹³

In the third phase, Computational Experimental Approaches to Explore the Questions developed from the Hypothesis, students selected appropriate tools to perform their experiments, chosen from computational techniques suitable for a CURE laboratory class taught remotely. Questions, paired with computational approaches, were selected

from Modules 5: Exploring Titratable Groups in a Protein using H++¹⁴; Module 6: Exploring Small Molecule Ligand Binding (with SwissDock¹⁵); Module 7: Exploring Protein–Protein Interaction (with HawkDock¹⁶); Module 8: Detecting and Exploring Potential Binding Sites on a Protein (with POCASA¹⁷ and SwissDock); and Module 9: Structure-Activity Relationships of Ligand Binding & Drug Design (with SwissDock, Open Eye¹⁸ or the Molecular Operating Environment [MOE]¹⁹).

All involve freely available computational approaches on publicly accessible web-based servers around the world (with the exception of MOE). Original literature/Journal club activities on approaches helped students suggest ties to wet lab experiments they could conduct in the future to complement their computational approaches.

This approach allowed us to continue using high impact CURE teaching, without changing our course learning goals. Quantitative data (including replicates) were collected and analyzed during regular class periods. Students developed evidence-based conclusions and related them to their research questions and hypotheses. Projects culminated in a presentation where faculty feedback was facilitated with the Virtual Presentation platform from QUBES.²⁰

These computational approaches are readily adaptable for topics accessible for first to senior year classes and individual research projects (UREs). We used them in both partial and full semester CUREs in various institutional settings. We believe this format can benefit faculty and students from a wide variety of teaching institutions under conditions where remote teaching is necessary.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest.

ORCID

Ellis Bell  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8730-6664>

REFERENCES

- Provost J, Bell JK, Bell E. Development and use of CUREs in biochemistry. *Biochemistry Education: From Theory to Practice*. Washington, DC: American Chemical Society; 2019) Ch. 7. p. 143–171. <https://doi.org/10.1021/bk-2019-1337.ch007>.
- <https://www.coursesource.org/courses/biochemistry-and-molecular-biology>
- PubMed. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>
- Berman HM, Westbrook J, Feng Z, Gilliland G, Bhat TN, Weissig H, et al. The protein data bank. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2000;28(1):235–242. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/28.1.235>.
- Altschul SF, Madden TL, Schäffer AA, Zhang J, Zhang Z, Miller W, et al. Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 1997;25(17):3389–4402.
- Madeira F, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T, Madhusoodnan N, Basutkar P, et al. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47(W1):W636–W641.
- The PyMOL Molecular Graphics System, Version 2.0 Schrodinger LLC, <https://pymol.org/edu/?q=educational/>
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J. Comput. Chem*. 2004 Oct;25(13):1605–1612.
- Kelley LA, Mezulis S, Yates CM, Wass M, Sternberg MJE. The Phyre2 web portal for protein modeling, prediction and analysis. *Nat. Protoc*. 2015;10:845–858. <http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/~phyre2/html/page.cgi?id=index>
- Ittisoponpisan S, Islam SA, Khanna T, Alhuzimi E, David A, Sternberg MJE. Can predicted protein 3D structures provide reliable insights into whether missense variants are disease associated? *J. Mol. Biol*. 2109;431:2197–3212. <http://www.sbg.bio.ic.ac.uk/~missense3d/>
- Bhattacharya D. refineD: improved protein structure refinement using machine learning based restrained relaxation. *Bioinformatics*. 2019;35(18):3320–8.
- Xu D, Zhang Y. Improving the physical realism and structural accuracy of protein models by a two-step atomic-level energy minimization. *Biophys. J*. 2011;101:2525–2534. <https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/ModRefiner/>
- Williams CJ et al. MolProbity: more and better reference data for improved all-atom structure validation. *Protein Sci*. 2018; 27:293–315. <http://molprobity.biochem.duke.edu/>
- Anandakrishnan R, Aguilar B, Onufriev AV. H++ 3.0: automating pK prediction and the preparation of biomolecular structures for atomistic molecular modeling and simulation. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2012;40(W1):W537–W541. <http://biophysics.cs.vt.edu/>
- Grosdidier A, Zoete V, Michielin O. SwissDock, a protein-small molecule docking web service based on EADock DSS. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2011;39:W270–W277. <http://www.swissdock.ch/>
- Weng G, Wang E, Wang Z, Liu H, Zhu F, Li D, et al. HawkDock: a web server to predict and analyze the protein–protein complex based on computational docking and MM/GBSA. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2019;47(W1):W322–W330. <http://cadd.zju.edu.cn/hawkdock/>
- Yu J, Zhou Y, Tanaka I, Yao M. Roll: a new algorithm for the detection of protein pockets and cavities with a rolling probe sphere. *Bioinformatics*. 2010;26(1):46–52. <http://g6altair.sci.hokudai.ac.jp/g6/service/pocasa/>
- Open Eye. <https://www.eyesopen.com/orion>
- Molecular Operating Environment. <https://www.chemcomp.com/>
- Donovan S, Diaz-Eaton C, Gower S, Jenkins K, LaMar M, Poli D, et al. QUBES: a community focused on supporting teaching and learning in quantitative biology. *Lett. Biomath*. 2015;2(1):46–55. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23737867.2015.1049969> QUBES: <https://qubeshub.org/>

How to cite this article: Bell A, Christian L, Hecht D, Huisinga K, Rakus J, Bell E. Teaching virtual protein-centric CUREs and UREs using computational tools. *Biochem Mol Biol Educ*. 2020; 48:646–647. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bmb.21454>